

Using Platform-Based Tools to Measure Impact

Jocelyn LeBlanc, PhD Research, Data and Impact Manager

Health Research Alliance members meeting March 30, 2020

Presentation outline

- Who is AMRC and its members?
- Why does demonstrating impact matter and what are the challenges?
- Researchfish what is it, who uses it, and what does it track?
- Examples of how charities use Researchfish data
- AMRC's impact work



Who is AMRC?

Over 30 years ago a small diverse group of medical research charities formed the Association of Medical Research Charities to unite the sector and provide it with a leading voice.





Who are AMRC members?





AMRC members fund essential research in all areas of health and disease

> 212,000 people in the UK were recruited into clinical studies or trials funded by AMRC charities in 2018

> > MRC: Medical Research Council NIHR: National Institute of Health Research

AMRC charities funded 41% of publicly funded medical

research nationally in 2018

Generic

health 19%

Cardiovascular

10%

Infection 11% Cancer and neoplasms **31%**

amrc

nflammatory

and immune

4%

www.amrc.org.uk | @AMRC

Why does demonstrating impact matter?



Morgan Jones, M., Grant, J. Making the Grade: Methodologies for assessing and evidencing research impact





amrc

www.amrc.org.uk | @AMRC

Why is demonstrating impact challenging?



...and communicate to different stakeholders





...and many players



Using Researchfish to track impact



An online platform for funders to collect research outcomes from award holders <u>regularly</u>, <u>over time</u> and using a <u>shared</u>, <u>standardized</u> question set

- 2008: Platform called e-Val for the Medical Research Council
- 2012: Separate company based in Cambridge, UK 18 orgs using it
- 2014: Became multidisciplinary all 7 research councils using it
- 2019: Acquired by Interfolio
 - American company providing software to help universities streamline academic hiring, review, promotion, and scholarly activity tracking

AMRC collaborating with Researchfish (with funding from MRC) for 6+ years – influence platform's development, enable smaller charities to use it, and create sector reports



Who uses Researchfish to track impact?



160+ funders 100,000+ researchers 160,000+ awards 3.5 million+ outputs 150+ countries logged in from ¹/₄ researchers based outside of UK

https://researchfish.com/the-members/

amrc

www.amrc.org.uk | @AMRC

How does Researchfish work?



Funder/charity/research organization puts award details into the system



×

 (\mathbf{I})

Researchers receive invitations to the platform from Researchfish

Researchers enter the information, automated as much as possible

Funder/charity/research organization downloads data and runs reports

Researcher enters info Directly harvested using grant attributions

Select from suggestions (via APIs)



Data can be explored to gain insights, discover, predict and advise

What does Researchfish track?

Common Outcome Types with sub-types

Publications	Further Funding	Engagement Activities	Influence on Policy, Practice, Patients & the Public	Research Tools & Methods	Research Databases & Models	IP & Licensing	Medical Products, Interventions and Clinical Trials	Artistic & Creative Products	Software & Technical Products	Awards and Recognition
Book	Research grant (including intramural programme)	A formal working group, expert panel or dialogue	Implementation circular/rapid advice/letter to e.g. Ministry of Health	Biological samples	Database/Collection of data	Currently Copyright (e.g. software)	Diagnostic Tool - Imaging	Artefact (including digital)	Webtool/Application	Research prize
Book Chapter	Fellowship	A talk or presentation or debate	Influenced training of practitioners or researchers	Cell line	Data analysis technique	Patent application published	Diagnostic Tool - Non- Imaging	Image	Software	Medal
Book (Edited)	Studentship	A magazine or newsletter (print or online)	Citation in clinical guidelines	Technology assay or reagent	Computer model/algorithm	Patent granted	Therapeutic Intervention - Drug	Artwork	e-Business Platform	Awarded honorary membership, or a fellowship, of a learned society
Conference Proceeding / Conference Paper	Capital/infrastructure (including equipment)	Event, workshop or similar	Citation in clinical reviews	Model of mechanisms or symptoms - human	Data handling & control	Protection not required	Therapeutic Intervention - Vaccines	Composition/Score	Grid Application	Appointed as the editor/advisor to a journal or book series
Consultancy Report	Travel/small personal	Participation in an open day or visit at my research institution/facility	Citation in other policy documents	Model of mechanisms or symptoms - mammalian in vivo		Trademark	Therapeutic Intervention - Cellular and gene therapy	Creative Writing	Physical Model/Kit	Poster/abstract prize
Journal Article / Review		Media interview, press release, press conference or other response to a media enquiry	Citation in systematic reviews	Model of mechanisms or symptoms - non- mammalian in vivo			Therapeutic Intervention - Medical Device	Film/Video/Animation	New Material/Compound	Attracted visiting staff or user to your research group
Manual / Guide		Engagement focused website, blog or social media channel	Membership of a guidance committee	Model of mechanisms or symptoms - in vitro			Therapeutic Intervention - Surgery	Artistic/Creative Exhibition	New/Improved Technique/Technology	NIHR Senior Investigator/Clinical Excellence Award
Monograph		A broadcast e.g. TV/radio/film/podcast (other than news/press)	Participation in a national consultation	Physiological assessment or outcome measure			Therapeutic Intervention - Radiotherapy	Performance (Music, Dance, Drama, etc)	Systems, Materials & Instrumental Engineering	National honour e.g. Order of Chivalry, OBE
Policy Briefing Report			Participation in advisory committee	Improvements to research infrastructure			Therapeutic Intervention - Psychological/Behavioural		Detection Devices	Prestigious/honorary/ advisory position to an external body
Scholarly Edition			Gave evidence to a government review	Antibody			Therapeutic Intervention - Physical			Personal invitation as keynote or other named speaker to a conference
Systematic Review							Therapeutic Intervention -			Honorary Degree



Researchers have submitted data, what next?

I STARTED THE DAY WITH LOTS OF PROBLEMS. BUT NOW, AFTER HOURS AND HOURS OF WORK, I HAVE LOTS OF PROBLEMS



Artistic & Creative Products

Awards & Recognition

- Collaborations & Partnerships
- 📲 Engagement Activities -
- 🔊 Further Funding with self funders
- 🕮 Further Funding
- 🔊 GeoMap with RF country names
- HRCS
- Influence on Policy
- 🔊 Intellectual Property & Licensing
- Matching SF ID to funding orgs in other tables
- Medical Products, Interventions and Clinical Trials
- Next Destination
 Other Outputs & Knowledge Future Steps
 Publications
 Research Databases & Models
 Research Tools & Methods
 RHT
 Software & Technical Products
 Spin Outs
 Stratification
- 🔊 Use of Facilities & Resources



How do funders use Researchfish data?

- Calculate key stats
- Identify case studies

- Impact reports
- Infographics
- Website material
- Social media material
- Fundraising material
- Blogs
- Magazine articles
- Researcher newsletters
- Reports to their board
- Response to calls for evidence
- Portfolio analysis and strategic evaluation



Ataxia UK



- Benefits of Researchfish to Ataxia UK
 - o data is categorised and uniform
 - data is submitted during a submission period all at the same time, so it is easy to know what to include in an annual impact report
 - researchers have their Personal Portfolio on Researchfish, which could prompt them to include outcomes they might otherwise forget
- Also ask for project updates free-text answers allow for more detail and opportunity to elaborate
- What data does Ataxia use the most?







Research tools and materials





Publications

Ataxia UK



Funding early research and pilot trials is an effective way for Ataxia UK to encourage further investment into ataxia research. Using grants from Ataxia UK, Prof Festenstein was able to explore the possibility of the frataxin gene being switched on again using HDAC inhibitors (such as nicotinamide). This was followed by us supporting the pilot trial, in which nicotinamide was tested for the first time in people with FA. Prof Festenstein and Prof Giunti have now been successful in securing further funding from the MRC and NIHR to enable the UK to participate in a larger study testing the effect of nicotinamide. Thanks to the help of Ataxia UK's loyal supporters, it is exciting to be moving on to the final trial which we hope will answer whether nicotinamide could be a treatment for FA.



Ataxia research

Innovation

successful.

can't

Research Impact Report 2019

Earlier this year we asked Ataxia UK-funded researchers to tell us about the outcomes of their research. The research we fund produces a range of exciting outcomes, from developing new tools, to raising further funding for ataxia research. Read a summary of the ways in which Ataxia UK-funded research is having an impact in our Research Impact Report 2019.



Ataxia UK At Ataxia UK, we fund studies ourselves but also instigate collaborations between other organisations, and help ataxia researchers to find funding wherever possible. An example of this is the Friedreich's ataxia collaborative drug high standard research development programme where we are collaborating with the pharmaceutical company Pfizer and Distribution three universities over a 3 year period. We were pivotal in orchestrating ggest gathering of ataxia the development of partnerships esearchers anywhere crucial to this programme and aided the researchers in designing their This leaflet is Support our research following Pharmaceutical Company which has www.ataxia.org.uk ontributed with financial or non-financial fund and other research activities, please visit the research sec support of our website: www.ataxia.org.uk/about-research Takeda You can contact the research team directly at research@ataxia.org.uk Development Centre 020 7582 1444 Europe Ltd

> Ataxia UK ATAXIA @AtaxiaUK

Ataxia UK uses @Researchfish to analyse the impact of Ataxia UK-funded research. This report summarises data from 39 grants, awarded between 2009 and 2018:



Research Outcomes Report 2019 Ataxia UK uses Researchfish to analyse the impact of Ataxia UK-funded research. This report summarises data from 39 grants, awarded between 2009 and 2018. @ ataxia.org.uk

British Heart Foundation

- 1600 scientific papers published in 2017 ۲
- 16 patents reported, 1 new spin out company formed in 2018
- Two thirds of BHF researchers reported international collaborations across 50 countries
- 6 major BHF-funded clinical trials reported results in the Lancet or New England Journal of Medicine
- £108.4 million for 230 new research awards from 591 full applications



- BHF funding contribution to partnership with others (Academy of Medical Sciences, European Research Area Network in Cardiovascular Disease, Alan Turing Institute, Health Data Research UK, UK Prevention Research Partnership) was £7.3 million for grants worth in total over £95 million
- Follow-on funding reported from BHF awards since 2012/13 ۲ (awards worth £465m) is £760m of which £45m is from the private sector



Scale: 1 Collaboration

British Heart Foundation



Top 10 funders by value of follow-on funding

Organisation	Amount of further funding (£m)	Percentage of total further funding (%)	Amount of further funding (£m) reported in 2017
British Heart Foundation	214	28	193
National Institute for Health Research	109	14	88
European Commission	96	13	99
Medical Research Council	73	10	52
The Wellcome Trust	50	7	54
Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council	35	5	31
National Institutes of Health (NIH)	17	2	10
Medicxi Ventures	14	2	0.6
Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council	10	1	11
The Leducq Foundation	10	1	11

Collaborations by private sector type



Pharma, 81
Medical device companies/Medtech, 35
Biotech, 18
Contract Research Organisation, 9
Laboratory suppliers, 9
Non-medical/biological, 2
Consumer goods company, 2
Investment, 2
Consortia, 1

Institution	Number of patents
University of Cambridge	6
University of Edinburgh	3
Imperial College London	3
University of Oxford	2
University of Leicester	1
King's College London	1

Lunac Therapeutics – development of an anti-clotting agent without the risk of bleeding



Dr Helen Philippou

University of Leeds

Prof Philippou received a Special Project Grant from BHF to develop a new first in class small molecule anticoagulant without the risk of bleeding. Patients with atrial fibrillation are at increased risk of stroke and are prescribed anticoagulants to reduce the likelihood of clot formation however current anticoagulants have a serious side-effect, an increased risk of bleeding. The grant allowed Prof Philippou and her team (including Dr Richard Foster) to investigate the mode of action of their novel compounds and identify a lead series of small molecules that achieve anticoagulant efficacy without increased risk of bleeding. This work, along with work funded by the Wellcome Trust and MRC has progressed the project significantly, identifying a lead series of potent and selective compounds. University of Leeds formed a spin-out company, Lunac Therapeutics, in April 2018. The company is currently engaging with investors to raise significant investment to continue the development of the drug so that it can be tested in clinical trials. BHF Grant References: SP/14/1/30717

www.bhf.org.uk/-/media/research-funds/research-evaluation-report-2018.pdf?la=en

Alzheimer's Research UK

Recognising the success of our funded researchers

In 2018, ARUK-funded researchers were recognised for their achievements **88 times** through invitations to give key note talks and being awarded research prizes and medals. This means that there are now **400 awards and cases of recognition** attributed to the awards included in this year's submission period.



Case study: The Cavanagh Prize 2019 awarded to Katie Lunnon by the British Neuropathological Society. Here, Prof Katie Lunnon, Professor of Epigenetics at the University of Exeter, was awarded the prize for her research into the role of epigenetic mechanisms in dementia.

> Read more about Prof Lunnon's work here: https://www.exeter.ac.uk/news/featuredne ws/title_708028_en.html

Fostering new collaborations

In 2018, our submitting researcher's established **44 new collaborations** – taking our total to **287 partnerships** with **171 partners** across all sectors and from countries all around the world.



Alzheimer's Research UK Catch of the Year Our researcher's achievements as reported on Researchfish[®]

Researchfish[®] is an essential platform for Alzheimer's Research UK to accurately measure and report the impact of our funding on the dementia research landscape. During our last submission period (Feb-Mar 2019), we received updates on **271** Alzheimer's Research UK-funded awards. Here are some of the key outputs they have reported.

Generating new knowledge

Alzheimer's Research UK-funded awards included in the last submission period had a total of **1,255** publications attributed to them, with **76%** of awards leading to at least one new publication. Articles were published in **362** different journals, with articles in *Neurobiology of Ageing*, the *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* and *Brain* being the most common. 2% 2% 42% 7% 8% 15%

Together these publications represent a multitude of new discoveries made by ARUK-funded researchers investigating the many diseases that cause dementia.

Alzheimer's disease	 Multiple dementias
 Frontotemporal dementia 	Dementia with Lewy bodie
Vascular dementia	Rarer dementias
PCA	Other

New methods and tools to fuel dementia research

A total of **71 awards** included in this year's submission period have a research tool or method attributed to them – resulting in over **100 new resources**. Many of these have already been shared and used by the wider dementia research community and include:



Case study: A new method for microdissection of postmortem brain tissue developed by Prof Julie Simpson and Prof Stephen Wharton, University of Sheffield. This approach uses a combination of immunohistochemistry and laser capture microdissection to isolate individual cell types from complex heterogeneous populations.

Pooling AMRC member data





hank you to Researchfish, the Medical Research Council and the 44 AMRC charities who participate ull digital report: https://www.amrc.org.uk/making-a-difference-impact-report-2019



www.amrc.org.uk | @AMRC

Creating a medical research impact framework



5 Impact areas

Translating research into new products & services

- Medical products, interventions and clinical trials
- Software and technical products
- IP and licensing
- Spin outs





- Influence on policy, practice and the public
- Engagement activities

.

0 0

.

.

0.0.

Developing the human capacity to do research

Use of facilities and resources

Next destination and skills

Awards and recognitions

a 00 000 000

- Impact report 2017
- <u>Animation</u>



amrc

Data analysis using Qlik





Data analysis using Qlik



www.amrc.org.uk | @AMRC

AMRC impact report: medical products & interventions

Medical products and interventions

Translating research can lead to the creation of medical products and interventions which can be tested in clinical trials.

6% of awards generated 568 unique developed and tested medical products and interventions.

The majority were drugs, followed by non-imaging and imaging diagnostic tools.





The "Head Up collar" is a neck support system that improves quality of life for people with motor neurone disease

Motor neurone disease (MND), also called ALS, is a life-shortening illness where the death of nerve cells that control movement leads to muscle weakening and wasting. There is no cure for MND but some symptoms can be managed in order to lessen the impact on day to day life. One consequence of the disease can be loss of neck muscle strength, making it difficult or impossible for some people with MND to hold their heads up straight. People living with MND reported that existing products were uncomfortable, unattractive and restrictive. They identified better head and neck support as a priority to improve their quality of life.

With funding from the MND Association, the NIHR Invention for Innovation (i4i) programme and Sheffield Hallam University, a revolutionary new support collar was designed and created with input from people affected by MND. The entire process, from initial conception to distribution, took about 7 years in total. The product was designed with input from people with MND and was tested in a clinical trial where 100 participants across 10 sites in the UK and Ireland tried out the collars. When the trial concluded, 80% of participants felt the collar helped them and wanted to carry on using it. This patented product is available through

https://www.amrc.org.uk/making-a-difference-impact-report-2019



AMRC impact report: partnerships

Partnerships

Research is a collaborative endeavour and the ability of researchers to forge partnerships with other researchers, companies and patient organisations allows research ideas to develop and be translated into new treatments, products or areas of understanding that will ultimately help patients.

46% of awards generated 13,096 partnerships, 7,581 of these were unique

Of these partnerships:

- Most (43%) were linked to a single partner
- Almost a quarter (22%) were linked to two partners

Countries where partners were based:

www.amrc.org.uk

- Charity-funded researchers had collaborations and partnerships with groups in 87 countries across the world
- Most (61%) of the partnerships were with UK-based organisations
- There were also substantial numbers of partnerships across Europe (21%) and with the United States (13%).
- The top 3 partnering countries in Europe were Germany, The Netherlands and France.



Case study: Partnering for better stroke care



A collaboration between academia and industry resulted in the development of a blood test for paramedics to determine who is experiencing a stroke and transfer the patient to the nearest specialist unit.

Stroke is a leading cause of death worldwide and contributes to a large burden on health and social care. The length and extent of recovery relies heavily on the accuracy of initial diagnosis and a fast emergency response, but there are many other conditions which create identical symptoms such as migraine and seizures. Paramedics are often the first to see patients in the crucial period following a stroke, but it can be challenging to identify actual stroke and to choose the best immediate course of action.

Dr. Christopher Price, a clinical researcher at Newcastle University who the Stroke Association has been supporting through fellowships since 2013, partnered with the UKbased company Sarissa Biomedical in 2016 to show the accuracy of a finger prick blood test in helping paramedics diagnose stroke at the scene or en route to the hospital. This test measures levels of a substance in the blood that rises after oxygen deprivation, which occurs in the brain during stroke, but stays low in other conditions producing the same symptoms. The collaborative team behind the development of this test won a Small Business Research Initiative award from Innovate UK.

This blood test is now being trialled in a multisite study with three ambulance services and four specialist stroke units. The blood test when used in conjunction with the wellestablished FAST test (facial drooping, arm weakness, speech difficulties) should improve the ability of paramedics to quickly and correctly distinguish between stroke and other conditions within 5 minutes. This will enable a decision about which is the most appropriate hospital destination and use limited NHS resources more efficiently by avoiding sending people along the stroke treatment pathway who need other types of care. For stroke patients, it may lead to greater confidence in the initial diagnosis and faster administration of treatments which can greatly minimise the disability caused.

https://www.amrc.org.uk/making-a-difference-impact-report-2019

Highlights version of the report



Link to 2-page report

Challenges for charities

- Engaging with researchers
- Staff resource and budget
- "Dirty data"
- Data overload
- Oversimplification/overinterpretation of stats
- Combining information from different sources
- Tailoring communication to different audiences and stakeholders





Thank you!

Jocelyn LeBlanc, PhD Research, Data and Impact Manager j.leblanc@amrc.org.uk

Useful links:

- Impact resources
- <u>Sample impact reports</u>
- <u>AMRC infographics and reports</u>
- AMRC impact report 2017
- AMRC impact report 2019
- <u>Researchfish website</u>