

Stories as sensemaking strategies Liz Neeley for HRA - June 2022 - References in talk

- 1. Weick, K. E., Sutcliffe, K. M., and Obstfeld, D. (2005). Organizing and the Process of Sensemaking. *Organization Science* 16, 409–421. doi:10.1287/orsc.1050.0133.
- 2. Sellnow, T. L., Sellnow, D. D., Helsel, E. M., Martin, J. M., and Parker, J. S. (2019). Risk and crisis communication narratives in response to rapidly emerging diseases. *Journal of Risk Research* 22, 897–908. doi: 10.1080/13669877.2017.1422787.
- 3. Willingham, Emily. "Can Nerd Nodes Reach Resisters Of Scientific Consensus?" *Forbes*, Feb 8, 2013. https://www.forbes.com/sites/emilywillingham/2013/02/08/can-nerd-nodes-reach-resistors-of-scientific-consensus.
- 4. Meyer (2016). "In Science Communication, Why Does the Idea of a Public Deficit Always Return?" *Public Understanding of Science* 25, 4: 433–46. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662516629747.¹
- 5. Cornelissen, J. P. (2000). Toward an Understanding of the Use of Academic Theories in Public Relations Practice. *Public Relations Review* 26, 12. doi: 10.1016/S0363-8111(00)00050-3.
- 6. Lewandowsky, S., Cook, J., Schmid, P., Holford, D. L., Finn, A., Leask, J., et al. (2021). *The COVID-19 Vaccine Communication Handbook. A practical guide for improving vaccine communication and fighting misinformation*. https://sks.to/c19vax.
- 7. Besley, J. C., and Dudo, A. (2022). *Strategic Science Communication: A Guide to Setting the Right Objectives for More Effective Public Engagement*. JHU Press.
- 8. Peterman, K., Garlick, S., Besley, J., Allen, S., Fallon Lambert, K., Nadkarni, N. M., et al. (2021). Boundary spanners and thinking partners: adapting and expanding the research-practice partnership literature for public engagement with science (PES). *JCOM* 20, N01. doi: 10.22323/2.20070801.
- 9. Sipe, L. R. (2001). A palimpsest of stories: Young children's construction of intertextual links among fairytale variants. *Reading Research and Instruction* 40, 333–352. doi: 10.1080/19388070109558354.
- 10. Campbell, J. (1949). *The hero with a thousand faces.* New York: MJF Books.
- 11. Reagan, A. J., Mitchell, L., Kiley, D., Danforth, C. M., and Dodds, P. S. (2016). The emotional arcs of stories are dominated by six basic shapes. *EPJ Data Sci.* 5. doi: 10.1140/epjds/s13688-016-0093-1.
- 12. Sickler, Jessica, and Michelle Lentzner. "The Audience Experience of Science Storytelling: Impact Profiles from a Q Methodology Study." *Journal of Science Communication* 21, no. 1 (January 31, 2022): A03. https://doi.org/10.22323/2.21010203.
- 13. Appel, Markus, and Tobias Richter. "Transportation and Need for Affect in Narrative Persuasion: A Mediated Moderation Model." *Media Psychology* 13, no. 2 (May 28, 2010): 101–35. https://doi.org/10.1080/15213261003799847.
- 14. Green, Melanie C, and Timothy C Brock. "Transportation-Imagery Model of Narrative Persuasion." In *Narrative Impact: Social and Cognitive Foundations*, 315–73. New York: Psychology Press, 2002. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781410606648.

¹ Part of a special issue, edited by Bauer (2016). "Why Does the 'Deficit Concept' Not Go Away?" Public Understanding of Science 25, no. 4. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662516640650.

- 15. Laer, Tom van, Ko de Ruyter, Luca M. Visconti, and Martin Wetzels. "The Extended Transportation-Imagery Model: A Meta-Analysis of the Antecedents and Consequences of Consumers' Narrative Transportation." *Journal of Consumer Research* 40, no. 5 (February 1, 2014): 797–817. https://doi.org/10.1086/673383.
- Nomura, R., Hino, K., Shimazu, M., Liang, Y., and Okada, T. (2015). Emotionally excited eyeblink-rate variability predicts an experience of transportation into the narrative world. *Frontiers in Psychology* 06. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00447 and Nomura, R., Liang, Y., and Okada, T. (2015). Interactions among Collective Spectators Facilitate Eyeblink Synchronization. *PLOS ONE* 10, e0140774. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0140774.
- 17. Cornelissen, J. P. (2000). Toward an Understanding of the Use of Academic Theories in Public Relations Practice. *Public Relations Review* 26, 12. doi: 10.1016/S0363-8111(00)00050-3.
- 18. Siegel, S., and Allan, L. G. (1996). The widespread influence of the Rescorla-Wagner model. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review* 3, 314–321. doi: 10.3758/BF03210755.
- 19. Scott, Kim. Radical Candor. New York: St. Martin's Press, 2019.

Additional recommendations

Each entry will take you in interesting directions, and help contextualize the ideas I shared. Enjoy!

On storytelling and narrative persuasion

- Dahlstrom and Ho. (2012) "Ethical Considerations of Using Narrative to Communicate Science." Science Communication 34, 5: 592–617. https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547012454597.
- Murphy, Sheila T., Lauren B. Frank, Joyee S. Chatterjee, and Lourdes Baezconde-Garbanati.
 "Narrative versus Nonnarrative: The Role of Identification, Transportation, and Emotion in Reducing Health Disparities" *Journal of Communication* 63, no. 1 (February 2013): 116–37.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12007.
- Nabi and Green (2015). "The Role of a Narrative's Emotional Flow in Promoting Persuasive Outcomes." *Media Psychology* 18, 2: 137–62. https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2014.912585.

On sensemaking

- Will and Pies. (2018) "Sensemaking and Sensegiving: A Concept for Successful Change Management." Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change 14, 3: 291–313. https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC-11-2016-0075.
- Cornelissen, J. P. (2012). Sensemaking Under Pressure: The Influence of Professional Roles and Social Accountability on the Creation of Sense. *Organization Science* 23, 118–137. doi:10.1287/orsc.1100.0640.

On facts, knowledge, and values

• Moon and Blackman. (2014) "A Guide to Understanding Social Science Research for Natural Scientists." Conservation Biology 28, 5: 1167–77. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12326