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Overview

- **Goal**: Fuel creativity and innovation in junior investigators in basic biomedical research
  - Funds creative and novel lines of research that are distinct from the applicants’ current research directions
  - $300,000 over 2 years
  - Between 4-9 years from their first independent faculty appointment and have not yet received tenure
  - No more than $750,000 in combined federal and non-federal direct costs
Program Origin

- In 2016, the Richard and Susan Smith Family Foundation asked HRiA to identify a new high-impact award program to complement their existing career-launching junior investigator award program, the Smith Family Awards Program for Excellence in Biomedical Research, which began in 1991.

- John Kanki, former HRA board member and HRiA Scientific Director at the time, researched and presented several options to the Smith Trustees.

- The SFF asked John to conduct further research to evaluate these. This included:
  - Surveys of former awardees and institutional representatives
  - Interviews with funders of similar programs
Proposed Funding Programs

- **Smith Transformation Awards (later renamed to Odyssey Awards)**
  - To promote the exploration of new lines of scientific inquiry

- **Smith Breakthrough Awards**
  - To pursue the development of new technologies, tools, and experimental systems

- **Smith Advancing Independence Awards**
  - To pursue innovative scientific lines of inquiry that failed to be funded through the NIH despite their exceptional scientific merit
Research results

• Survey and interviews clearly favored the “Transformation Awards”
  • Targets new faculty who are within 4-9 years of the first independent appointment
  • Promotes the exploration of new lines of scientific inquiry

• Challenges addressed by the program
  • Support for basic science research
  • Risk-averse, conservative government funding
  • Junior investigators often cannot compete due to lack of preliminary data and track record
  • Administrative burden of applying for grants is substantial
Iterative Program Improvements

Launch (2018)

Shift to two-stage review process
Tightened eligibility window
Tightened innovation criteria

Addition of Innovation Score (2020)

Increased marketing; Addition of Office Hours (2022)
## Innovation Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RED</strong></td>
<td>Outstanding innovation and creativity, and distinct from current work. High impact idea that is likely to establish a ground-breaking new direction or research paradigm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ORANGE</strong></td>
<td>High innovation and creativity, and distinct from current work. High-medium impact idea with high potential to establish a ground-breaking new direction or research paradigm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YELLOW</strong></td>
<td>Moderate innovation and creativity, and distinct from current work. Medium impact idea with some potential to establish a new direction or research paradigm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GREEN</strong></td>
<td>Moderate innovation and creativity, and less distinct from current work. Low potential to establish a ground-breaking new direction or research paradigm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BLUE</strong></td>
<td>Low innovation and creativity, and less distinct from current work. Unlikely to establish a ground-breaking new direction or research paradigm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PURPLE</strong></td>
<td>Low innovation and creativity, and not distinguishable from current work. Unlikely to establish a ground-breaking new direction or research paradigm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Iterative Program Improvements

Launch (2018)

- Shift to two-stage review process
- Tightened eligibility window
- Tightened innovation criteria

Addition of Innovation Score (2020)

Increased marketing; Conducted virtual office hours (2022)
Measuring Success
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logic Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inputs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RSSFF investments in:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Odyssey research grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Scientific convenings (Luncheon and Poster Session)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Management and oversight of grants (HRIA and Review Committee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Time/effort/resources of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Researchers/institutions: physical space, staff, equipment, administrative oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• External reviewers and committee members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Research participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Learning Agenda

What difference is this program making in the world?

What measurable indicators of success align with this?

What standard of evidence is required to take action?

What evaluation questions are most relevant to this?

What action might be taken as a result?

What measurable indicators of success align with this?
Evaluation Questions

Fuel creativity and innovation
- Has the Odyssey program increased incentivization for **unfunded** junior faculty to think creatively and develop proposals to pursue novel research directions?
- Has the program increased the level of creativity and innovation in **funded** junior faculty research?
- Has the program resulted in more connection across different strands of research among junior faculty in the Boston area?

Drive new research directions
- Are new lines of biomedical research being established as a result of this program?
- Are scientific advances being made in these new directions of research?
- How much NIH and other funding was subsequently invested in the new research directions initially seeded by RSSFF?

Generate Breakthroughs
- Have research breakthroughs been generated as a result of this program?
Evaluation Plan

Indicators of success for Program Evaluation

- Annual Progress/Final Reports
- Alumni Report (post-award year 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 25)
- Awardee Impact Report (ongoing HRiA assessment of progress)